| | Case 2:04-cv-01647-JCC Document | 98 Filed 05/20/05 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 01 | | | |
| 02 | | | |
| 03 | | | |
| 04 | | | |
| 05 | | | |
| 06 | 5 | | |
| 07 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 08 | AT SEATTLE | | |
| 09 | MARK WAYNE CLARK, | CASE NO. C04-1647- | -JCC-MAT |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 11 | | ORDER DIRECTING O FILE ANSWER T | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Defendants. | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | On May 19, 2005, United States District Judge John C. Coughenour adopted the court's | | |
| 16 | Report & Recommendation on defendants' motion to dismiss. (Dkt. #96). Defendants had filed | | |
| 17 | a motion to dismiss in lieu of an answer to plaintiff's <i>pro se</i> complaint, in this action brought | | |
| 18 | pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Dkt. #39). Also on May 19, 2005, the undersigned United States | | |
| 19 | Magistrate Judge issued an Order setting pretrial deadlines for discovery and motions. (Dkt. #97). | | |
| 20 | However, because no answer had yet been filed, it now appears that the pretrial scheduling Order | | |
| 21 | was issued in error. Accordingly, the court finds and ORDERS as follows: | | |
| 22 | (1) The Order setting a pretrial schedule (Dkt. #97) is hereby VACATED. | | |
| 23 | (2) Defendants shall have thirty (30) days from the date of this Order to file and serve | | |
| 24 | an answer to the complaint. Defendants shall construe the complaint in light of Judge | | |
| 25 | Coughenour's Order of May 19, 2005, which eliminated certain claims and defendants from this | | |
| 26 | action. | | |
| | | | |
| | ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO FILE ANSWER TO COMPLAINT PAGE -1 | | |

ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO

FILE ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

PAGE -2